PATENT

IT-W8



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Roland Scherer) Group: 3683
Serial No.10/554,482) Confirmation No. 6544
Filed: October 24, 2005
Title: METHOD FOR MONITORING A) Corresponding to International BRAKING TORQUE MODIFICATION) Application No. PCT/EP04/13467
OF A RETARDER) Filed: November 27, 2004

SUBMISSION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is an English translation of the International Preliminary Report on

Patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

John F Noffman Capacitation No. 26,280

Attorney for Applicants

JFH/nw

BAKER & DANIELS

111 East Wayne Street, Suite 800

Fort Wayne, IN 46802 Telephone: 260-424-8000

Facsimile: 260-460-1700

Enc. International Preliminary
Report on Patentability

Return Postcard

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on:

September 28, 2006

JOHN F. HOFFMAN, REG. NO. 26,280

Name of Registered Representative

Signature

September 28, 2006

Date

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PG 06172WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/013467	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 November 2004 (27.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 December 2003 (23.12.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant VOITH TURBO GMBH & CO. KG				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. I(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.				
	Box No. I Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				
			Date of issuance of this report	
			29 August 2006 (29.08.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		lombettes	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac	
			e-mail: ptll@wipo.int	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION PG 06172WO See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 27.11.2004 23.12.2003 PCT/EP2004/013467 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60T17/22, B60T10/02 Applicant VOITH TURBO GMBH & CO. KG This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220 For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No PCT/EP2004/013467

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language. this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	_	. which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b1).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or antino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed attom this opinion has been established on the basis of:
İ	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	¢.	time of filing/furnishing
	• •	contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form
İ		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
		Turnsace acceptantly to the respect of seaton
3		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as appropriate, were furnished
4.	Addi	tional comments:
1		

International application No
PCT/EP2004/013467

			le 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inve- porting such statement	ntive step or industrial applicability;
1	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO NO
	Industrial applicabil	lity (IA) Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

In the present opinion, reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 1 308 359 A

D2: WO 03/020562 A

D3: DE 198 57 535 A1

D4: EP 0 296 728 A

D5: US 4 477 124 A

Novelty:

According to method claim 1 (and use claim 13) a (retarder) change in brake torque is monitored by means of an actuating pressure control circuit with a pressure sensor, by means of which the actuating pressure of the retarder is sensed dynamically. The actuating pressure is compared with a setpoint value, and if predefined criteria are fulfilled a warning message is generated and/or the retarder is prevented from being switched on (manually) as a function of the actual value/setpoint value comparison which is carried out, while in D1 (or in the other cited documents) there is no indication of

International application No PCT/EP2004/013467

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

dynamic monitoring of the actuating pressure and for the purpose of fault detection (issuing of a warning message and/or prevention of switching on).

2.1 The subject matter of claims 1 and 13 is thus novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

Inventive step:

- 3 The subject matter of independent claims 1 and 13 solves the following problem:

 making available a method for monitoring a (retarder) change in brake torque without considerable additional expenditure or in particular the provision of additional components (description, page 2, lines 4-9), with the solution according to the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 13: A method and a use of a pressure sensor for monitoring and for fault detection (issuing of a warning message and/or prevention of switching on) of a retarder.
- 3.1 The cited documents do not give any indication whatsoever of providing such a method and such a use of a pressure sensor for dynamically monitoring and detecting faults in a retarder. All the subject matters of independent method claim 1 or of use claim 13 are thus not known from, or suggested by, a single document or a combination of documents.
- 3.2 Claims 2-12 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1, and all of claims 1-13 therefore meet the

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013467

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3).
Indu	strial applicability:
4	The invention can be used in the vehicle industry.

International application No
PCT/EP2004/013467

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
The following	defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:	
5	Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description	
	does not cite document Dl or indicate the relevant	
	prior art disclosed therein.	
